



STOREY COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS MEETING

TUESDAY, JANUARY 2, 2018 10:00 A.M.

DISTRICT COURTROOM
26 SOUTH B STREET, VIRGINIA CITY, NEVADA

MINUTES

MARSHALL MCBRIDE
CHAIRMAN
ATTORNEY

ANNE LANGER
DISTRICT

LANCE GILMAN
VICE-CHAIRMAN

JACK MCGUFFEY
COMMISSIONER
TREASURER

VANESSA STEPHENS
CLERK-

ROLL CALL: Chairman McBride, Vice-Chairman McGuffey, County Manager Pat Whitten, Clerk & Treasurer Vanessa Stephens, Deputy District Attorney Keith Loomis, Outside Counsel Robert Morris, Sheriff Gerald Antinoro, Administrative Officer/Planning Director Austin Osborne, Comptroller Hugh Gallagher, Tourism Director Deny Dotson, IT Director James Deane, Fire Chief Jeff Nevin, Community Relations Director Cherie Nevin, Justice of the Peace Eileen Herrington

1. CALL TO ORDER REGULAR MEETING AT 10:00 A.M.

Meeting was called to order by Chairman McBride at 10:00 A.M.

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chairman McBride led those present in the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN TO THE STOREY COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS FOR THE TERM OF ONE YEAR Pursuant to Storey County Code 2.04.008

County Manager Whitten recommended that Marshall McBride remain the Chairman of the Storey County Board of County Commissioners. Vice-Chairman McGuffey nominated Marshall McBride as Chairman.

Public Comment:
None

Motion: Approve Marshall McBride as Chairman of the Storey County Board of County Commissioners, **Action:** Approve, **Moved by:** Vice Chairman McGuffey, **Seconded by:** Chairman McBride, **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous vote, **(Summary:** Yes=2)

4. ELECTION OF VICE CHAIRMAN TO THE STOREY COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS FOR THE TERM OF ONE YEAR Pursuant to Storey County Code 2.04.008

County Manager Whitten recommended re-appointment of Jack McGuffey as Vice Chairman of the Storey County Board of Commissioners for 2018. Chairman McBride nominated Jack McGuffey for Vice Chairman of the Storey County Board of Commissioners for 2018.

Public Comment:
None

Motion: Approve Jack McGuffey as Vice-Chairman of the Storey County Board of County Commissioners, **Action:** Approve, **Moved by:** Chairman McBride, **Seconded by:** Vice Chairman McGuffey, **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous vote, **(Summary:** Yes=2)

5. DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION: Approval of Agenda for January 2, 2018

Public Comment:
None

Motion: Approve Agenda for January 2, 2018, **Action:** Approve, **Moved by:** Vice Chairman McGuffey, **Seconded by:** Chairman McBride, **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous vote, **(Summary:** Yes=2)

6. CONSENT AGENDA:

I Consideration of re-appointment of Dr. James C. McLennan to serve as County Health Officer per NRS 439.310.

II For possible action, update to Storey County Administrative Policies and Procedures including 100 Personnel Policies and Acknowledgments, 101 Administrative Directive, 102 Computing Time for Notice, 103 Employee changes of Address, 104 Personnel Files Contents, 105 Personnel Files Confidential Information, 106 Disposal of Personnel Records, 501 Worktime and Workweek, 502 Pay Periods, 503 Overtime, 504 Rate of Pay, 505 Longevity Pay, 506 Acting and FTO pay, 507 Step Advancement, 601 Holidays, 602 Annual Leave, 603 Sick Leave, 604 Catastrophic Leave, 606 Leave Without Pay, 608 Bereavement Leave, 610 Emergency Conditions, 611 Leave for Parents, 612 Leave for Nursing Mothers, 701 Health Insurance Coverage, 702 Life Insurance Coverage, 703 Retirees, 704 Retiree Health Benefits, 705 Workers' Compensation and Transitional Duty, 706 Education Assistance, 901 Performance Management, and Policy 1002 Definitions.

III For possible action, approval of payroll claims in the amount of \$1,084,574.77 and accounts payable claims in the amount of \$3,637,520.11.

IV For possible action, approval of Business Licenses First Readings:

- A. AIR GUYS LLC - General / 1211 Avian Dr. ~ Sparks, NV
- B. NEW ELECTRIC STERLING HEIGHTS LLC- General / 6475 19 ½ Mi. ~ Sterling Hghts, MI
- C. URTON LTD - Contractor / 1512 Hwy 395 N ~ Gardnerville, NV
- D. SUGINO CORP - General / 1380 Hamilton Pkwy ~ Itasca, IL
- E. LIBERTY INDUSTRIAL GROUP INC - Contractor / 1132 S 500 W ~ Salt Lake City, UT
- F. AQ&B, LLC - General / 5470 Sidehill Dr ~ Sun Valley, NV
- G. KYYBA, INC - General / 28230 Orchard Lk ~ Farmington Hills, MI
- H. YBD TECH MOVING, LLC - General / 1 East First St ~ Reno, NV
- I. SHRED IT USA - General / 28161 N. Keith Dr - Lake Forest, IL

J. COMSTOCK COPYWRITING - General / 21335 Saddleback ~ VC Highlands, NV
 K. GO SOLAR GROUP, LLC - Contractor / 4892 S Commerce Dr ~ Murray, UT
 L. TANAMERA CONSTRUCTION, LLC - Contractor / 5560 Longley Ln ~ Reno, NV
 M. GULF COAST PROTECTIVE WRAP, LLC - General / 5301 Longley Ln ~ Reno, NV
 N. MASKINE LLC - General / 704 Mill Rd ~ Webster, IN
 O. THE JAMO TRUCK, LLC - General / 1416 Canyon Creek ~ Reno, NV
 P. AMAZON.COM.KYDC, LLC - General / 410 Terry Ave N ~ Seattle, WA
 Q. COSCO FIRE PROTECTION INC - Contractor / 3620 W. Reno ~ Las Vegas, NV
 R. COMSTOCK CRITTER TAXIDERMY - Home Business / 2247 S. Main St ~ Virginia City, NV
 S. THERMAL RESOURCE SALES, INC - General / 750 Yellow Pine Rd ~ Reno, NV
 T. ACCO ENGINEERED SYSTEMS, INC - Contractor / 6265 San Fernando ~ Glendale, CA
 U. TESLA, INC - General / 3550 Deer Cr Rd ~ Palo Alto, CA
 V. APPLIED MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGIES LLC - 219 Kay Industrial Dr ~ Orion, MI
 W. CALIFORNIA-NEVADA ILLUMINATION, INC - General / 4000 Executive Pkwy ~ San Ramon, CA
 X. QUALITY TELECOM CONSULTANTS, INC - Contractor / 3740 Cincinnati Ave ~ Rocklin, CA
 Y. EAGLE NEST PARTNERS - General / 2255 Green Vista ~ Sparks, NV
 Z. ELWEMA AUTOMOTICE GMBH - General / 21 Schneider-Strasse 21 ~ Ellwanger Wagst, Germany
 AA. SPARKS ELECTRIC MOTOR REPAIR, LLC - General / 845 Marietta Way ~ Sparks, NV
 BB. INMAN'S JEWELRY - Home Business / 204 Wagon Wheel ~ Dayton, NV
 CC. BOURQUE ENTERPRISES - General / 5017 S 36th St ~ Phoenix, AZ

Public Comment:
 None

Motion: Approve Consent Agenda for January 2, 2018, **Action:** Approve, **Moved by:** Vice Chairman McGuffey, **Seconded by:** Chairman McBride, **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous vote, **(Summary:** Yes=2)

7. DISCUSSION ONLY/POSSIBLE ACTION: Resolution 18-480, honoring In memoriam Susanne (Sue) Ayres Graves of Virginia City.

Sheriff Antinoro presented the Resolution. Ms. Graves worked 26 years in the Sheriff's Office and the Sheriff said it is proper to recognize her contributions. Sarah Jensen, Ms. Graves' daughter, was present to accept the Resolution.

Sheriff Antinoro read the Resolution:

WHEREAS: The Storey County Commission remembers the dedicated service of Susanne Ayers Graves and is saddened at her passing;

WHEREAS: Susanne Ayers Graves served as an employee of the Sheriff's Office for 26 years and in retirement continued to provide assistance and counsel to the Office of the Sheriff right up until the time of her passing;

WHEREAS: Susanne Ayers Graves was more than just an employee of county government but had deep ties to our community going back decades, always having time for family, friends, and neighbors, and even serving for many years on the board of the Virginia City Senior Center;

WHEREAS: Susanne Ayers Graves earned the respect, admiration and high regard of all who had the pleasure of knowing and working with her, and while in recent years she could not be a part of the Storey County community, the County has sustained a great loss in her death;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, on behalf of the citizens, the Sheriff, and the Storey County Board of Commissioners, extend sincere regret to Susanne Graves' family members for their loss and the hope that her family will be consoled by the memories of her life and contributions to community;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this Resolution be made a part of the official minutes of Storey County and that an official copy of this expression of our deepest sympathy be presented to her family.

Dated this 2nd day of January 2018.

Public Comment: None

Motion: I recommend approval of Resolution 18-480, to recognize Susanne Graves, **Action:** Approve, **Moved by:** Vice Chairman McGuffey, **Seconded by:** Chairman McBride, **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous vote, **(Summary:** Yes=2)

8. DISCUSSION ONLY (No Action - No Public Comment): Committee/Staff Reports :
Sewer Improvement Project Manager Mike Nevin :

- The wastewater project is 25% complete.
- Work this week will focus in front of the elementary school as well as under SR 341.
- There may be traffic control in these areas.
- The contractors are great to work with.

County Manager Whitten commented that there are no immediate plans to pave the south section of O Street, however gravel has been put down. Re-paving of streets will be done as long as weather permits.

- The County is working with NDOT in researching remedies to get C Street storm drains off of the sewer system.

Project Manager Mike North an:

- Insurance work continues to correct damages sustained to County facilities during the January floods.
- Footings and a column have been replaced at Pipers Opera House.
- Work is being done on the Courthouse roof - steel frames are being added to support chimneys.

Community Relations Director Cherie Nevin :

- The Lockwood Community Center lunch program is temporarily relocated to the Rainbow Bend Clubhouse to accommodate building repairs.
- The County is expecting a \$115,000 grant award reimbursement from the State Division of Emergency Management.

Tourism Director Deny Dotson :

- Working on a budget for Pipers Opera House.
- The VCTC will be conducting a business assessment impact study regarding an extended-stay lodging ordinance.
- There was great participation from Virginia City businesses during the holidays.
- The V&T Rail Commission will be doing a business assesement.

Fire Chief Jeff Nevin :

- Storey County fire crews were sent to the recent fires in Southern California.
- The Fire District received a grant from the Nevada Division of Forestry for fuel reduction. Fuel reduction work will be done in the Highlands.

Emergency Management Director Joe Curtis :

- Weather is looking good for this year.
- Working on new signs stating this is a “snow ready community”.

IT Director James Deane:

- Electrical work has been completed for the data center in the Courthouse.

Director of Planning and Human Resources:

- The Porter Group is still working on the Lands Bill in Washington DC.
- The County is working on maps to give to the BLM in connection with the Lands Bill.
- Health Benefit ratings are upside down this year. This is very serious as the rating affects premiums and ability to obtain insurance bids. Working with LP Insurance to come up with a strategy. This is a cyclical occurrence.
- No new word on installation of a cell tower in the Highlands.

Deputy District Attorney Keith Loomis:

- The Alaska Sovereign Wealth Fund was researched in regards to a possible tax rebate/refund (in Storey County). 25% of mineral revenues to the State are awarded to residents in the form of checks. This was done through a State Constitutional Amendment.
- Any abatement(s) would have to be done through a legislative solution and would be subject to local Government acts.
- The Nevada Constitution states that all taxes must be equal across the State.

County Manager Pat Whitten :

- There is a lack of movement in Congress regarding the zip code issue, however, the Porter Group (the County’s lobbyist group), is not giving up and will continue to work on this important item.
- The District Court Judges have been pressuring the County to come up with a solution for moving the Justice Court to another location. Suggested locations include: a modular building, the Gold Hill Depot, the training center building (next to Fire Station) - moved and relocated, a building adjacent to the Courthouse building, the old Piper house.

9. BOARD COMMENT (No Action-No Public Comment) :**Vice Chairman McGuffey :**

- Reported on a recent NACO meeting. Items discussed included a new direction for Nevada Cooperative Extension and coalitions against bigger trucks.

Chairman McBride :

- Acknowledged the passing of Storey County residents: Zoanne Abel, Greg Sutherland, Nick Bullock, Sue Graves, and Jack Eshenauer.

10. DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION: Review and possible approval of Storey County Audited Financial Statements for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Comptroller Hugh Gallagher presented this item and introduced Joe Costanza from the firm DiPietro and Thorton, CPA's (the County's new auditors). Mr. Gallagher said there was difficulty balancing to beginning balances on July 1, 2016. Some items could not be verified and Mr. Costanza took appropriate measures to fix this. Several meetings were held with the previous auditors. The beginning balance for 2017 is correct and we are going forward from there.

Mr. Gallagher reviewed the audit figures for each fund. Note that net revenues over expenditures were \$964,000 compared to \$860,000 the previous year. The ending fund balance is approximately \$10.2 million compared to \$8.6 million for 2016.

Public Comment:

Nicole Barde, Storey County resident : As part of this report, will material issues found in the audit be addressed today?

Mr. Gallagher: Part of the issues were discussed. As far as discrepancies, that again will have to be filed with the Department of Taxation - this can be done.

Ms. Barde: One issue was relating to Comptroller's staff needing more training. All three issues should be addressed.

Mr. Costanza: Nothing big was found. Ways to improve are always part of the audit. It was found that in working with the Comptroller's staff, they are pretty good. One issue was that statements may be materially wrong (not unusual in an organization this size, due to time constraints, and associated costs). Also, a lot of the investments need to be held at fair-market value - the variance is very small. The County is not in investments that go up and down. These are suggestions looking at ways to improve internal control. These are not issues where we think the statements are materially bad. Sometimes the suggestions are not realistic. An audit of this type should contain suggestions each year. No one should take anything in (the audit) as someone did anything wrong or things not being done correctly.

Chair McBride: Every audit has come with recommendations. The County does it's best to implement recommendations.

Sam Toll, Gold Hill resident: Prior audits list the TRIC liability as long-term debt. Does the re-allocation of this debt to change liability reality? Why was it moved?

Mr. Costanza: It's in the section with long-term liability. It should not affect the borrowing.

Mr. Toll: Does that affect the County's credit rating and ability to obtain future indebtedness?

Mr. Gallagher: No.

Mr. Toll: Asked if, after this audit, there be any opportunity to distribute cash (to taxpayers) or would it be suggested to re-distribute any available wealth? Is there an abundance of wealth?

Mr. Costanza: My job is to look and see that (the County) is in a correct financial situation.

Mr. Gallagher: The County has been looking at re-distribution for some time. An ending-fund balance does not mean it's free income. We need to be prudent. It is unknown what's on the horizon. There will likely be things in TRIC the County is obligated for from the next budget session. There are several issues that will hopefully clear up by June 30th, including issues with the Industrial Park and a

pipeline. We are still in the process to see if a tax rebate or refund can be done. There should be a clearer picture by the end of the budget session.

Motion: I make a motion to approve the Storey County Audited Financial Statements for the year ended June 30, 2017, **Action:** Approve, **Moved by:** Vice Chairman McGuffey, **Seconded by:** Chairman McBride, **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous vote, **(Summary:** Yes=2)

11. DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION: 2018 Appointments to serve on State, County and Regional Boards:

Mr. Whitten presented this item. Staff recommends the following:

1. Safety Committee - Vanessa Stephens - Chair (No Change)
2. Legislative Representative - Marshall McBride with all others, including staff as needed (No Change)
3. Historic Fourth Ward School and Museum Board - Jack McGuffey (No Change)
4. NACO - Jack McGuffey with Austin Osborne as alternate (No Change)
5. Nevada Works - Lance Gilman with Austin Osborne as alternate (No Change)
6. Virginia City Senior Center - Cherie Nevin (No Change)
7. Lockwood Senior Center - Lance Gilman and Cherie Nevin (No Change)
8. St. Mary's Art Center - Jack McGuffey with Cherie Nevin as alternate (No Change)
9. Western Nevada Development District - Austin Osborne (No Change)
10. Carson Water Subconservancy District - Austin Osborne with Kathy Canfield as alternate (No Change)
11. State Land Use Planning Advisory Council - Austin Osborne with Kathy Canfield as alternate (No Change)
12. Natural Resources Conservation District (USDA) - Kathy Canfield with Austin Osborne as alternate (was Dean Haymore)
13. Truckee River Flood Management Authority (Technical Advisory Committee) - Lance Gilman with Austin Osborne and Kathy Canfield as alternates (No Change)
14. Comstock Historic District - Marshall McBride (No Change)
15. Nevada Commission for the Reconstruction of the V & T Railway - Jack McGuffey (No Change)
16. Virginia City Tourism Commission - Lance Gilman as Commissioner designate (No Change)
17. Oversight of Fire and Public Works - Marshall McBride (No Change)
18. Economic Development
 - a. EDAWN - Pat Whitten (No Change)
 - b. NNDA - Lance Gilman and Austin Osborne as alternate (No Change)
19. Storey County Wildlife Advisory Board - Confirming continued appointments of Greg Hess Sr., Greg "Bum" Hess, Jim Clark, Rob DuFresne and Rich Bacus (No Change)
20. Washoe-Storey Conservation District - Kathy Canfield with Austin Osborne as alternate (No Change)

Public Comment:

None

Motion: I move to approve Committee appointments as recommended by staff, **Action:** Approve **Moved by:** Vice Chairman McGuffey, **Seconded by:** Chairman McBride, **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous vote, **(Summary:** Yes=2)

12. DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION: Consideration of letters of interest for reappointment of a planning commissioner or appointment of new planning commissioner to serve a term representing Precinct 2 Virginia City/Gold Hill position on the Storey County Planning Commission.

Planning Director Austin Osborne: This Planning Commission term expired in December. The position was posted per policy. A letter of interest for re-appointment, including recommendation letters, was submitted by Jim Hindle. No other letters of interest were received. Staff highly recommends re-appointment of Mr. Hindle. Mr. Hindle brings a very commendable level of expertise to the position.

Public Comment:
None

Motion: In accordance with the recommendation by staff, I, Commissioner Jack McGuffey, motion to re-appoint Durward James "Jim" Hindle III, to serve on the Storey County Planning Commission, **Action:** Approve, **Moved by:** Vice Chairman McGuffey, **Seconded by:** Chairman McBride, **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous vote, **(Summary:** Yes=2)

13. DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION: Approval and acceptance of a National Park Service Historic Preservation Grant (HPF) award for \$80,000.00 to assist the St. Mary's Art Center with a building and Site Assessment Project and the Comstock Cemetery Foundation with the Visitor Center Porch Rehabilitation Project.

Community Relations Director Cherie Nevin: Storey County applied for a National Park Service Historic Preservation grant which was successful.

St. Mary's Art Center will receive \$60,000 in grant funds, with a 40% cash/in-kind match. Comstock Cemetery Foundation will receive \$20,000 with a \$13,333.33 cash/in-kind match. St. Mary's Art Center has issues with water coming into the building - these funds will help to assess the conditions and make improvements, including the porch. The Comstock Cemetery Foundation will use the funds to construct a porch on the old home that was recently moved to the cemetery.

This grant is retroactive to December 6, 2017 - the funds need to be spent by June 30, 2018. An extension can be requested.

Public Comment:
None

Motion: I move to accept and approve a National Park Service Historic Preservation Grant award for \$80,000.00 to assist the St. Mary's Art Center with a Building & Site Assessment Project and the Comstock Cemetery Foundation with the Visitor Center Porch Rehabilitation Project, and authorization for Cherie Nevin to sign all associated grant documentation, **Action:** Approve, **Moved by:** Vice Chairman McGuffey, **Seconded by:** Chairman McBride, **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous vote, **(Summary:** Yes=2)

14. DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION: Approval of rights-of-way license agreement for power line transmission granted to Switch Business Solutions, LLC.

Deputy District Attorney Keith Loomis: This is a license agreement requested by Switch for the installation of communication/power lines on poles they already installed in County right-of-way. This 20-year agreement states, in part:

- It is subject to 5 year renewals.
- Switch must pay a certain amount per foot for right-of-way actually used or \$500, per year - whichever is greater.

- Switch can come back to the County and ask for extension on to different areas of County right-of-way by application to, and approval by, the County Manager;
- Subsequent to this agreement requests for expansion of the right-of-way will go to the County Manager.

Mr. Whitten: Switch is a great partner. This is a housekeeping matter.

Public Comment:

Sam Toll, Gold Hill Resident: Are we granting Switch a license or an easement? What is being licensed specifically?

Mr. Loomis: A license to use the County's right-of-way to install power poles for electric and communication lines - possibly with underground installation.

Mr. Toll: Does (the County) have any other similar licensing agreements? Is it typical to grant easements NVEnergy.

Mr. Loomis: There are licensing agreements with a couple of wireless communication companies. Not with NVEnergy. An easement is a permanent interest in land. The County maintains more control with licensing.

Mr. Toll: There was indication of a product being sold. Are the wires for their own personal use?

Mr. Loomis: At this point, yes.

Mr. Toll: Is there any way to get Switch to tap into the high speed line near the River District to get access for that area to high-speed bandwidth?

Adam Kramer , Executive Vice President with Switch : Switch has been working with the schools in Lockwood and rural areas throughout the State. With 40% of the schools in the State, connectivity has been increased 2,000% - decreasing cost 14%. Switch is starting with schools. This opens up opportunity for businesses and residents to leverage this to grow and connect to this service. Switch continues to look for opportunities to support the community.

Mr. Whitten: We are working with the company Wi-Fi in the Park developing a list of potential problems to submit for solutions.

Mr. Toll: Lockwood residents still have 52K dial-up service - this is unworkable.

Motion: I move to approve the licensing agreement with Switch for the installation of electrical and data communication lines and related equipment in County rights-of-ways, and authorize the Chairman to sign, **Action:** Approve, **Moved by:** Vice Chairman McGuffey, **Seconded by:** Chairman McBride, **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous vote, **(Summary:** Yes=2)

Chairman McBride called for recess at 12 noon
Meeting reconvened at 12:08 PM

15. DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION: USA Parkway Tower Project Inter-Local Agreement.

IT Director James Deane: This is an interlocal agreement between Storey County and the Nevada Department of Transportation to provide access to the proposed radio tower site on the ridge over-

looking USA Parkway. This is the first step in providing access. NDOT will construct the site, install the tower and power facilities for co-location of radio equipment. This will be the first County-owned radio site, reducing dependence on other counties and agencies.

Mr. Whitten: This is coming to the County “gratis” from Roger Norman and Lance Gilman.

Mr. Deane: Coverage is shadowed out of the “80” corridor. This will not cover a large area but does provide coverage approximately from Silver Springs to Switch. This will significantly improve ambulance, fire, and NHP trunk systems.

The County will probably end up putting a tower at the McCarren government center.

Public Comment:
None

Motion: I make a motion to approve the USA Parkway Tower Project Interlocal Agreement, **Action:** Approve, **Moved by:** Vice Chairman McGuffey, **Seconded by:** Chairman McBride, **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous vote, **(Summary:** Yes=2)

16. DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION: Virginia City Tourism Commission Appointments:
a. Appointment of Corrado DeGasperis, with the Gold Hill Hotel, to the vacant “Hotel” seat on the Virginia City Tourism Commission effective January 2, 2018.
b. Reappointment of Ron Gallagher (at-large position) and Angelo Petrini (business district representative)

VCTC Director Deny Dotson: Thanks to Scott Jolcover who is leaving his position as the “Hotel seat” after many years. The position was posted in the local newspaper. Applicant Corrado DeGasperis is recommended for this position.

Public Comment:

Sam Toll, Gold Hill resident : Mr. DeGasperis is the title holder of the Gold Hill Hotel, but does not participate in the day-to-day operation. Was there outreach to others who might have a better pulse of the industry before selecting Mr. DeGasperis?

Chair McBride: It was advertised. Not everyone in this business wants to participate.

Mr. Toll: I missed the advertisement. Thank you.

Motion: I make a motion to approve appointment of Corrado DeGasperis and reappointment of Ron Gallagher and Angelo Petrini, along with a County Commissioner to make up the VCTC board, **Action:** Approve, **Moved by:** Vice Chairman McGuffey, **Seconded by:** Chairman McBride, **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous vote, **(Summary:** Yes=2)

17. RECESS TO CONVENE AS THE STOREY COUNTY BROTHEL LICENSE BOAR D

18. DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION: Work Card appeal for Justine Hadera.

Attorney Joey Gilbert was present on behalf of the Brothel. Applicant Justine Hadera was not present. Mr. Gilbert indicated applicant applied for a work card which was denied by the Sheriff’s Office. Ms. Hadera presented the Sheriff’s Office with requested information. Clarification of the denial was requested. Mr. Gilbert explained the applicant’s situation and reviewed the reasons for

denial. Had the applicant been here today, the Sheriff has indicated the work card would most likely been issued.

Sheriff Antinoro: Applicant has not been denied or revoked a work card but was told a temporary work card would not be given until issues regarding immigration status were resolved. This is not a work card denial item as a work card has not been issued.

Mr. Gilbert: In his interpretation of the Code, does not see why the Sheriff should worry about immigration issues - not when required documents are provided pursuant to what the County Code asks for.

Chair McBride: Understands that under State law everyone has to fill out an I-9. Does the application state the applicant can't have a work card in another County? If an applicant knew, they may take whatever action necessary before applying.

Sheriff Antinoro: Does not know if the application does, but it is in the ordinance.

Mr. Gilbert: Could there be legal clarification on this? Workers are being limited to one area. In reading the Code, the cards were put in place to keep organized crime out of the industry.

Public Comment:
None

Motion: I make a motion to take no action on the work card appeal by Justine Hadera, **Action:** Approve, **Moved by:** Vice Chairman McGuffey, **Seconded by:** Chairman McBride, **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous vote, **(Summary:** Yes=3)

19. DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION: Work card appeal for Jason Pillmore

Mr. Gilbert appeared on behalf of the Brothel and applicant Jason Pillmore. Mr. Pillmore is present. Mr. Pillmore's work card was revoked on December 22nd with no explanation. The revocation was based failure to disclose an issue from 18 years ago. The issue was indicated on a separate document submitted with the application. The Sheriff has previously indicated a work card would be not be denied or revoked based on failure to remember information if the applicant included service background check. The revocation was damaging to Mr. Pillmore since it happened three days before Christmas holiday. The Sheriff did indicate this gentleman would be approved without the necessity of an appearance. These are the issues being faced.

Sheriff Antinoro: Timing depends on when results come back on background checks - not around whether or not it's a holiday. I did say if someone forgot to include background history - but it was attached - they would not be denied for that. I do not see every single application coming through the office. If I am told there is failure to disclose, there is no reason to doubt staff - the card is revoked. FBI requirements state we can only talk to the applicant, with identification - no one else.

Vice Chairman McGuffey: Asked if the Sheriff follows-up when staff says a work card should be revoked.

Sheriff Antinoro: Staff does the work, gives me the information - I sign the letter. If I have to do all the work of the staff, what do we have staff for?

Mr. Gilbert: Thinks there is some unfair treatment and discretion should be used.

Public Comment:
None

Motion: I make a motion to reinstate Mr. Pillmore's work card, **Action:** Approve, **Moved by:** Vice Chairman McGuffey, **Seconded by:** Chairman McBride, **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous vote, **(Summary:** Yes=3)

Chairman McBride: It has been stressed with the brothel that applicants "cross every T and dot every I".

Donny Gilman: The information was on Mr. Pillmore's application, but the date was incorrect.

20. DISCUSSION//POSSIBLE ACTION: Work Card Appeal for Mikala Enriquez.

Joey Gilbert was present on behalf of the brothel. This is no longer an issue as Ms. Enriquez has never returned.

Sheriff Antinoro added that Ms. Enriquez had never applied for a work card.

Mr. Gilbert: Ms. Enriquez went there to turn in the application. The application was refused because courts could not be reached to find out the facts. Mr. Gilbert does not believe the Sheriff has the authority to refuse a work card application and is not accepting the FBI background check as full disclosure of information. Ms. Enriquez was never contacted by the Sheriff or staff to get further information.

Mr. Gilman: In addition, everything was emailed to the Sheriff's Office.

Sheriff Antinoro: She was told she could apply but that a temporary work card would not be granted based on issues that were outstanding. The application was never submitted.

Mr. Gilman: The problem is the application was not allowed to be submitted at the Sheriff's station (in Lockwood) and without an application being submitted how can they say a work card cannot be issued?

Sheriff Antinoro: The Ordinance does not say (applicant) will leave with a work card - rather that the Sheriff may issue a temporary. There are a few instances where no temporary work card was issued - hundreds have been processed where temporary work cards have been issued. It has been said many times - they can apply, there is no guarantee they will leave with a work card in hand.

Mr. Gilman: This particular issue was that she was turned away from the Sheriff's Office and not allowed to turn in her application.

Chair McBride: How did the Sheriff know there were issues with unknown resolution if an application was not turned in?

Mr. Gilman: That's the point, she did turn in an application.

Chair McBride: I'm at a loss.

Sheriff Antinoro: As of this morning, there is no record of Ms. Enriquez in the system. She came in and had a question about specific issues. I advised staff to have her submit the application to be looked into, but she will not leave with a temporary card today.

Mr. Gilbert: Referred to an email message (to the Sheriff's Office) stating this is the work card application and FBI printout for Ms. Enriquez. The email referred to a conversation with Sheriff staff where Ms. Enriquez was told she would only be able to get a temporary work card until everything was settled. In response, the staff said courts were unable to be reached to verify facts. It was explained to the staff the FBI printout explains it all - the Sheriff needs to look into the issue(s).

Chair McBride: (to the Sheriff) There is no application for this person?

Sheriff Antinoro: Correct.

Public Comment:
None

Chair McBride, addressing Outside Counsel Morris: It is my recommendation to take no action on this item.

Mr. Morris: State why you are taking no action.

Mr. Gilbert: Recognize there is no application and we are aware of that.

Motion: I make a motion that because there is no application filed with the Sheriff's Department for the work card appeal for Mikala Enriquez, we will not take any action, **Action:** Approve, **Moved by:** Vice Chairman McGuffey, **Seconded by:** Chairman McBride, **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous vote, **(Summary:** Yes=3)

21. DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION: Discussion of a potential change to the County Code and a proposed process for consultation with County Staff regarding such a change. Requested by Donny Gilman and Joey Gilbert.

Mr. Gilbert read a prepared statement into the record:

Good morning commissioner and board and happy new year!!

Normally, I don't believe I need to make an opening statement simply to appear on the work card matter, but I believe the time has come for some straight talk from an outsider (ME) who came before this board and the Sheriff with nothing, but honest intentions and an indefatigable spirit to foster better communications and help everyone get along. I truly did.

I believe I have had very positive meeting with the Sheriff and I believe I've always treated everyone and every issue with the utmost respect.

First, let me digress quickly and tell you why it's me here in front of you today and maybe then you'll understand better where I'm coming from.

I am here in front of you all here in Storey County because of a couple reasons, one being, Bum Hess is a friend of mine - his sister married to married to Mike Conners and his brother, Pat Conners was my best friend all through high school and college, still is a friend etc...

Some of you may remember after I appeared on The Contender series (2005) on NBC along with Sylvester Stallone, I got a call from Bum while I was living and training in LA, to come speak at the high school and junior high for graduation.

Well I came and I met many wonderful people, still all friends today and I actually have a couple of VC graduates working at my other businesses, while finishing school at the University.

Second reason I'm in front of you today is because I saw Lance speak at the Atlantis - on landing Tesla in the park.

I was beyond impressed with the vision, the tenacity and the straight up balls it took to make the park happen, - only then fill it with the greatest and sexiest companies in the world, savage.

I knew I wanted to be involved in projects here in Storey County, in the park and so simply put, I'm here; this is my angle - I'm determined to make an impact here, by simplifying the process.

Gentlemen, in that speech Lance gave at the Atlantis, I'm sure you all have heard it - because they are your county codes, your ordinances - you wrote them!

If I remember correctly, a company could apply for and receive a grading permit in a day - what was it?! Incredible and through simplification and private industry.

Well, to my point we have a county where everything moves at the speed of light for business, but for our fellow citizens or those looking for work, we have an ever moving timeline and goal posts, that absolutely we're not what the ordinance was drafted for.

With all due respect, how the hell is it going to take days/weeks/months to approve a work card - and is the "action" and "inaction" really necessary reasonable and prudent.

Is this the best practice for a forward thinking county - that really seems to understand Americans' frustrations with government overreach??

We must consider the cost and challenges all counties/municipalities face with regards to how important resources are spent - and ask, "is this truly a necessary function and utilization of the Sheriff's office 2017?"

As an example, currently the Department of Taxation and other State agencies handle all kinds of enforcement and regulatory duties as they apply to State licenses businesses that were traditionally left to law-enforcement; I merely point this out because at these times of the day, I personally see no reason why the Sheriff's Office is managing day to day operations at a local brothel and from the outside, the bias is pretty clear and straightforward and rightfully so.

The Sheriff and the business owners have a history and I leave it at that because I get it, but innocent, broken down women with very few, if any other options, shouldn't end up as pawns in the little game that seems to be on repeat.

On balance, I believe it would be fair to know what percentage of time and resources the Sheriff's Office is spending to "enforce brothel ordinances" - relative to what is spent on other businesses and other duties of the Sheriff's office.

My point to all this, what level of Public Safety is being addressed by revoking Mr. Pillmore's work card on December 22, three days before Christmas, on a holiday weekend, with all administrative staff out of the office - all because there was an arrest 17 years prior accidentally left off his application.

Note: Mr. Pillmore has zero convictions, all visible on FBI printouts that was also turned in - which shouldn't have matters regardless - and definitely not resulted in pulling his work card for what was a clerical error. See packet provided for supporting documentation to everything stated here and in this opening statement document.

In my reading of the brothel ordinance and in trying to formulate its intent, I has to ask myself and County staff, why the work cards were originally implemented and the purpose they serve.

It is my understanding that the work cards were initiated to prevent organized crime from being involved in the industry and the essentially keep track of and perform due diligence background checks on people correct? Am I missing something?

Meaning, it definitely seems much more limited in scope then I believe the Sheriff is interpreting and applying it and it is absolutely this board's duty to guide that interpretation and application and it should be discussed, voted on and put to bed today please if possible.

Whether it's a girl seeking medical clearance because she originally failed a medical test, but now has a clean test, or someone with charges, but not convictions, there must be a specific protocol that's followed with as little interaction with law enforcement as possible.

Treating a local business and its employees like criminals is not fair or acceptable in our great Country.

There must be permanent standard and/or criteria not open to interpretation and ladies must clear medical test after testing dirty shouldn't be required to reapply or told the ordinance needs to be reviewed because the ordinance is clear, it doesn't mention it, which means its not required and Ad Hoc rule making should never occur when it affects peoples lives.

The above being so, if the proper documentation has been provided, then the Sheriff has no need, nor the authority to question immigration status.

That's how I read it.

In America, you are innocent until proven guilty and with the internet and social media accusations and uncorroborated charges are being thrown around - and careers and businesses are being destroyed - it's just not fair and it's just not American to jump the gun and deny people the right to work, just because they may or may not be facing legal charges.

All defendants have a right to defend themselves in a court of law and the right to an attorney, all while they continue to work and provide for their families.

I think we need to respect our laws and our democracy and for this reason alone, I'm going to implore you; I'm not too proud to beg you - to take whatever kind of action or vote necessary to change the words from "may" to "must".

Put simply, if there's no disqualifying conditions or conviction - automatic temporary work cards.

Changing it from the Sheriff "may" to the Sheriff "must" issue a temporary work card will keep everyone on and make certain that if there is a genuine concern over a lease history and there's no conviction for disqualify issues, then the sheriff can prioritize accordingly I get the answers information he needs from the courts quickly.

In speaking with the Sheriff, I asked him why he was so concern^{3d} with the work card applications at the brothel and he said - it's simply a matter of public safety". I was respectful and listened and took notes and immediately tried to implement some better communications and inserted myself where/when appropriate.

I was told by the Sheriff (I'm paraphrasing) that with regards to calling for information on why a work card was denied, I was told that if the applicant appeared in person at the substation or up in Virginia City, that I would then be allowed to call and ask about that application.

Sadly, I was unable to make any difference whatsoever and in fact, that's way I am in front of you today - having flown back late last night from Maui Hawaii on a family vacation to be here today because this is important to me and bother me that I see good people, - if they're working in Storey County then they are in search of something safer and better and 1000 x more positive and no one from this County should be judging anybody or lady from what so many times they are running from and should never be subjectively forced to return to.

I have made an honest attempt at trying to resolve issues as they come up; I do so because I respect everyone's time and I know that county staff and law-enforcement do a lot to keep us all safe. We respect and appreciate your service Sheriff and all your deputies.

This is why I just don't understand how we've gotten to this point in Storey County, where we are scrutinizing work cards in a way, time, manner and priority (as applied to the brothel) in a manner I have never seen before (for county work cards), and 2017, possibly utilizing a system that simply does not work.

I say this in terms of how quickly information can be verified and courts or other county's offices contacted and concerns addressed.

What took days and weeks before, now takes minutes and we owe it to the tax paying citizens of this county to process their information in a timely manner, especially when it's a matter of survival and options ae limited and very dangerous.

Storey County is a safe place.

The runaround given to Ms. Hadera, - who was first told her card could not be processed because of her "immigration status", which was later changes to Ms. Hadera possessing a work card from Nye County and so again the goal posts were moved and reasons, standards and/or system changes again and its just not fair and any other business going through this would say the same thing.

Finally, since we just touched on the topic, a lady being denied a work card - because she has another one in a county is outdated, antiquated concern that was specific to this county because there were additional brothels and the thinking at that time was, "if a girl got her work

card pulled at one brothel for ,say a failed medical test, they didn't want to have them driving down the street and working at the other one.

The State has addressed these concerns and many others that originally fell to the counties and there is no reason for the limiting provision to be interpreted and applied in this fashion as it serves no interest, nor addresses a legitimate concern for public safety.

The Sheriff has just recently began to apply this now over the past couple of months (after it not being enforced in the manner for more than 17 years) and so it is my position that Storey County is effectively restricting someone's ability to work, which I believe is not lawful, but the more serious repercussion on the ladies or even staff who are then forced to stay or return to a location that they are desperately trying to leave.

In closing, I am prepared to answer any additional questions as they apply to the specific work cards denials, but I believe I have also identified significant concerns or issues as they relate to the applicants before you, using real life scenarios to demonstrate the damage and harm done to the business and those wishing to be employed there. The solution is simple and clear and I ask that the board consider my request and then advise and direct me accordingly.

Sheriff Antinoro responded: How quickly do gaming and liquor licenses go through? There are public issues to be considered with employment at a brothel. Hundreds of licenses have been issued in seven years - three instances where a temporary is not issued. Issues that come up are looked into. Everything being done is in the ordinance. Every time the ordinance is reviewed, it is weeded down. I am doing what the ordinance requires. It is still a privileged license. The safety of the public is at stake. The brothel should have a vested interest in the safety of customers.

Mr. Gilbert: I think they do.

Vice Chairman McGuffey: There could be some changes of the brothel code. Some of the verbage needs to be nailed down and this will take time. The same issues are seen time after time. The Sheriff is doing his job. A lot of the appeals could be cut down if the code was cleaned up.

Chairman McBride: You can expect to see scrutiny in the future. Prostitution, gaming, and liquor are privileged licenses. There is some room to "tweek" the ordinance. The ordinance was written to mirror the gaming ordinance to keep out criminal element. The brothel ordinance may be a little over the top. Some people may be required to have a work card that do not need one - people not involved in management or prostitution. Background checks need to remain.

Mr. Whitten: There are four items on this agenda with no supporting documents. Would like to work with Mr. Gilbert and Mr. Gilman for better information. Looking at the timing of the original drafting of the ordinance, it was designed after gaming. No one wants the criminal or drug element. It would be good to get clarification and get rid of some of the ambiguities (in the ordinance).

Public Comment:

Mr. Toll: The ordinance is clear. There is some tightening up that could be done. The Sheriff is doing his job and his responsibilities are clear. Perhaps Mr. Gilbert should review the licenses to work out any issues ahead of time. Until the rules are changed, the rules are the rules and the Sheriff's job is to do what the rules say.

Robert Morris, Outside Counsel: There was a good process when revisions were done in 2013-14. A few decisions were made that are coming forth today.

- Required ID - it specifically states forms of acceptable ID. This is very clear to the Sheriff and he follows this requirement.
- How to handle temporary permits was discussed during the revision process. It was Board decision for the Sheriff to have discretion - he tends to be more conservative and have some items come to the Board for hearing. If the Board is interested in changing this, it can be worked on.
- A new policy is being developed on agendizing items.
- One item is the filing of an appeal by a person whose work card has been revoked. There could be a document stating the specific reason for denial or revocation.
- It is suggested there be an agenda item with input from the Sheriff and from the person who is appealing. If not, the Board is not in a position to make a decision.

Mr. Whitten: (On this item) there is a missing document. There was an email from Mr. Thompson on behalf of the Gilmans, saying these four items would be heard and I put it on the agenda. Are you saying the request for an appeal must come from the applicant rather than from the Gilmans?

Mr. Morris: Thinks the manager or owner of the business can file the appeal for the applicant if the applicant wants help with it. Personal information should be redacted before being put into the (agenda) packet.

Mr. Whitten: Will work with Mr. Thompson, Mr. Gilman, Mr. Gilbert, and others, to better document these requests early in the process. The Sheriff is always notified as soon as an appeal is received. I will not redact anything. Whatever is provided by applicant or applicant's representative, and by the Sheriff, will be used. Timelines also need to be worked on. This also goes for receipt of documentation.

Kris Thompson, Risk Manager for the Gilman Trust: There are issues with the appeal process that create time issues. Notice to be on the agenda has to be in 7 days before the Commission meeting and there is only 30 days for the appeal process. Many times it takes brothel personnel and counsel time to figure out what happened. This may be an area to be looked at.

Chairman McBride: An issue with one applicant was that she held a work card in another county. Does County ordinance prohibit the holding of more than one work card? Can additional jurisdictions be added to that work card as can be done with a gaming work card.

Sheriff Antinoro: Prohibits holding more than one work card. There is nothing in State law- it is referred to the counties. If a work card is issued in one county, other county's will often suspend or cancel its work card. This keeps card holders from going from one location to another. This goes back to a public health issue of who is responsible for what. Storey County's requirements are the most comprehensive in the state.

Chair McBride: Workers must pass medical every time they leave and return to a property.

Mr. Gilbert: Absolutely.

Motion: I make a motion to direct staff, including legal counsel, Sheriff, and licensee, to make recommended changes to the brothel ordinance, **Action:** Approve, **Moved by:** Vice Chairman McGuffey, **Seconded by:** Chairman McBride, **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous vote, **(Summary:** Yes=3)

22. ADJOURN TO RECONVENE AS THE STOREY COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

23. DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION: Second reading for General Business License. Applicant is Jonathan Deitrich, owner of a home based business, The Supply SGT, at 450 S E St., Virginia City, NV.

Sheriff Antinoro recommended approval of this General Business License.

Public Comment:

None

Motion: Second reading for General Business License. Applicant is Jonathan Deitrich, owner of a home based business, The Supply SGT, at 450 S E St., Virginia City, NV, **Action:** Approve, **Moved by:** Vice Chairman McGuffey, **Seconded by:** Chairman McBride, **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous vote, **(Summary:** Yes=2)

24. DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION: First Reading of Ordinance No. 18-279 amending Storey County Code Title 16 Subdivisions to adopt new codes for land subdivisions, parcel maps, division of land into large parcels, surety requirements, land readjustments, boundary line adjustments, and reversions to acreage/lot consolidations. In addition to provisions of the NRS, any person may complete and return to the Board or Planning Commission a statement supporting or opposing the proposed amendments to the county code. Additional information may be obtained from the Planning Department at 775-847-1144 or [planning @storeycounty.org](mailto:planning@storeycounty.org). This item will be continued to the January 16, 2018 Commission meeting to be convened at 10:00am in the Storey County District Courtroom in Virginia City, NV.

Mr. Osborne stated all updates are on the website and requested that the items 24-31 be continued to January 16, 2018.

Public Comment:

None

Motion: I make a motion to continue items 24 through 31 to January 16, 2018 in this Courtroom, **Action:** Approve, **Moved by:** Vice Chairman McGuffey, **Seconded by:** Chairman McBride, **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous vote, **(Summary:** Yes=2)

25. DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION: First Reading of Ordinance No. 18-278 amending Storey County Code Title 17 Zoning, including Chapter 17.56 Planned Unit Developments to revise the procedure for approval and standards of planned unit developments. In addition to provisions of the NRS, any person may complete and return to the Board or Planning Commission a statement supporting or opposing the proposed amendments to the county code and zoning ordinance. Additional information may be obtained from the Planning Department at 775-847-1144 or [planning @storeycounty.org](mailto:planning@storeycounty.org).

This item continued to the January 16, 2018 Commission meeting to be convened at 10:00am in the Storey County District Courtroom in Virginia City, NV.

26. DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION: First Reading of Ordinance No. 18-280 amending Storey County Code Title 17 Zoning including chapters 17.03 Administrative Provisions, 17.10 Definitions, 17.12 General Provisions, 17.15 Public Zone, 17.24 Agriculture Zone, 17.28 Commercial Zone, 17.30 Commercial-Residential Zone, 17.32 Forestry Zone, 17.34 Light Industrial Zone, 17.35 Heavy Industrial Zone, 17.40 Estate Zone, 17.44 Special Planning Review Zone; and 17.84 Signs and

Billboards. In addition to provisions of the NRS, any person may complete and return to the Board or Planning Commission a statement supporting or opposing the proposed amendments to the county code and zoning ordinance. Additional information may be obtained from the Planning Department at 775-847-1144 or planning @storeycounty.org.

This item continued to the January 16, 2018 Commission meeting to be convened at 10:00am in the Storey County District Courtroom in Virginia City, NV.

27. DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION: First Reading of Ordinance No. 18-281 amending Storey County Code Title 17 Zoning including Chapter 17.06 Nonconforming Uses pertaining to legally nonconforming uses and adding language pertaining to substandard development. In addition to provisions of the NRS, any person may complete and return to the Board or Planning Commission a statement supporting or opposing the proposed amendments to the county code and zoning ordinance. Additional information may be obtained from the Planning Department at 775-847-1144 or planning @storeycounty.org. This item will be continued to the January 16, 2018 Commission meeting to be convened at 10:00am in the Storey County District Courtroom in Virginia City, NV.

28. DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION: First Reading of Ordinance No. 18-282 amending Storey County Code Title 17 Zoning including Chapter 17.03 Administrative Provisions to revise the procedure for the expiration of development permits. In addition to provisions of the NRS, any person may complete and return to the Board or Planning Commission a statement supporting or opposing the proposed amendments to the county code and zoning ordinance. Additional information may be obtained from the Planning Department at 775-847-1144 or planning @storeycounty.org.

This item continued to the January 16, 2018 Commission meeting to be convened at 10:00am in the Storey County District Courtroom in Virginia City, NV.

29. DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION: First Reading of Ordinance No. 18-276 amending Storey County Code Title 17 Zoning to provide for design criteria and improvement standards for certain types of development and a design review process with review by the planning director with appeal to the planning commission and board. In addition to provisions of the NRS, any person may complete and return to the Board or Planning Commission a statement supporting or opposing the proposed amendments to the county code and zoning ordinance. Additional information may be obtained from the Planning Department at 775-847-1144 or planning @storeycounty.org.

This item continued to the January 16, 2018 Commission meeting to be convened at 10:00am in the Storey County District Courtroom in Virginia City, NV.

30. DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION: First of Resolution No. 18-481 determining and consolidating all planning fees, including removing certain fees from code and placing them into resolution.

This item continued to the January 16, 2018 Commission meeting to be convened at 10:00am in the Storey County District Courtroom in Virginia City, NV.

31. DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION: Approval of Resolution No. 18-474 to the Board of County Commissioners with recommendation by the Planning Commission adopting a design criteria and improvement manual setting forth certain development and design standards and guidelines for residential and non-residential planned unit developments, multi-family residential complexes, and other uses.

This item continued to the January 16, 2018 Commission meeting to be convened at 10:00am in the Storey County District Courtroom in Virginia City, NV

32. DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION: Division of Large Parcels Map 2017-055 by Tahoe Reno Industrial Center. The applicant is requesting a Division of Land into Large Parcels, File 2017-055. One existing large parcel over 40 acres will be divided into a total of three large parcels over 40 acres. The subject property extends west from USA Parkway, having Assessor's Parcel Number 004-171-16, Storey County, Nevada. The project is a division of Parcel 2016-25 as shown on Record of Survey Map No. 125044, and as described in Document Number 125418, within portions of Sections 28, 31, 32, 33 & 34, T19N, R23E, M.D.M.

Planner Kathy Canfield presented this item. This is one large 1,653 acre parcel being divided into three 40 acre plus parcels. It is anticipated that one parcel will be for the fire station, the other parcels to remain privately owned. Planning Commission recommended approval.

Public Comment:
None

Ms. Canfield read the Findings of Fact:

The applicant is requesting a Division of Land into Large Parcels, File 2017-055. One existing large parcel over 40 acres will be divided into a total of three large parcels over 40 acres. The subject property extends west from USA Parkway, having Assessor's Parcel Number 004-171-16, Storey County, Nevada. The project is a division of Parcel 2016-25 as shown on Record of Survey Map No. 125044, and as described in Document Number 125418, within portions of Sections 28, 31, 32, 33 & 34, T19N, R23E, M.D.M.;

The Division of Land into Large Parcels complies with NRS 278.471 through 278.4725 relating to the adjustment of one parcel to three parcels, all 40 acres or more in size;

The Division of Land into Large Parcels complies with all Federal, State, and County regulations pertaining to Parcel Maps and allowed land uses;

The Division of Land into Large Parcels will not impose substantial adverse impacts or safe hazards on the abutting properties or the surrounding vicinity;

The conditions of approval for the requested Division of Land into Large Parcels do not conflict with the minimum requirements in Storey County Code Chapter 17.32 Forestry Zone or any other Federal, State, or County regulations.

Motion: In accordance with the recommendation by Staff and the Planning Commission, the Findings under section 3.A of the Staff Report, and in compliance with all Conditions of Approval, I Jack McGuffey, hereby move to waive the requirement for filing a Tentative Map and I hereby move to **approve the Final Map Division of Land into Large Parcels, File 2017-055. One existing large parcel over 40 acres will be divided into a total of three large parcels over 40 acres. The subject property extends west from USA Parkway, having Assessor's Parcel Number 004-171-16, Storey County, Nevada. The project is a division of Parcel 2016-25 as shown on Record of Survey Map No. 125044, and as described in Document Number 125418, within portions of Sections 28, 31, 32, 33 & 34, T19N, R23E, M.D.M. , Action:** Approve, **Moved by:** Vice Chairman McGuffey, **Seconded by:** Chairman McBride, **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous vote, **(Summary:** Yes=2)

33. DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION: Division of Large Parcels Map 2017-054 by Tahoe Reno Industrial Center. The applicant is requesting a Division of Land into Large Parcels, File 2017-054. One existing large parcel over 40 acres will be divided into a total of three large parcels over 40 acres. The project is a division of parcel 2016-24 as shown on Record of Survey Map No. 125044, and as described in Document Number 125418, within portions of Sec. 1, 2, 3, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 22, 23, 27 & 28, T19N, R24E, M.D.M. The subject property is located along USA Parkway at the Storey/Lyon County line and extends northeast along the county boundary, having Assessor's Parcel Number 004-171-14, Storey County, Nevada.

Planner Kathy Canfield: This is a request for division of land into three large parcels over 40 acres. One of the parcels will be the location of the communications tower discussed in a previous item. No change to the Forestry zoning. All three parcels will have access to USA Parkway. Planning Commission recommended approval.

Public Comment:

None

Ms. Canfield read the Findings of Fact:

The applicant is requesting a Division of Land into Large Parcels, File 2017-054. One existing large parcel over 40 acres will be divided into a total of three large parcels over 40 acres. The project is a division of parcel 2016-24 as shown on Record of Survey Map No. 125044, and as described in Document Number 125418, within portions of Sec. 1, 2, 3, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 22, 23, 27 & 28, T19N, R24E, M.D.M. The subject property is located along USA Parkway at the Storey/Lyon County line and extends northeast along the county boundary, having Assessor's Parcel Number 004-171-14, Storey County, Nevada;

The Division of Land into Large Parcels complies with NRS 278.471 through 278.4725 relating to the adjustment of one parcel to three parcels, all 40 acres or more in size.

The Division of Land into Large Parcels complies with all Federal, State, and County regulations pertaining to Parcel Maps and allowed land uses.

The Division of Land into Large Parcels will not impose substantial adverse impacts or safety hazards on the abutting properties or the surrounding vicinity.

The conditions of approval for the requested Division of Land into Large Parcels do not conflict with the minimum requirements in Storey County Code Chapter 17.32 Forestry Zone or any other Federal, State, or County regulations.

Motion: In accordance with the recommendation by Staff and the Planning Commission, the Findings under section 3.A of the Staff Report, and in compliance with all Conditions of Approval, I Jack McGuffey, hereby move to waive the requirement for filing a Tentative Map and I hereby move to **approve the Final Map Division of Land into Large Parcels, File 2017-054. One existing large parcel over 40 acres will be divided into a total of three large parcels over 40 acres. The project is a division of parcel 2016-24 as shown on Record of Survey Map No. 125044, and as described in Document Number 125418, within portions of Sec. 1, 2, 3, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 22, 23, 27 & 28, T19N, R24E, M.D.M. The subject property is located along USA Parkway at the Storey/Lyon County line and extends northeast along the county boundary, having Assessor's Parcel Number 004-171-14, Storey County, Nevada, Action:** Approve, **Moved by:** Vice Chairman McGuffey, **Seconded by:** Chairman McBride, **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous vote, **(Summary:** Yes=2)

34. DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION: Business Licenses Second Readings:

- A. TACTICAL CONTROLS - General / 943 W. Overland Rd ~ Meridian, ID
- B. VERTECH INDUSTRIAL SYSTEMS, LLC - General / 4409 E. Baseline Rd ~ Phoenix, AZ
- C. ZERO CHAOS - General / 420 S. Orange Ave ~ Orlando, FL
- D. EXPRESS JANITORIAL - General / 418 S. Rock Blvd ~ Sparks, NV
- E. DELTA MOLD - General / 9415 Stockport Pl. ~ Charlotte, NC
- F. HDR - General / 6805 Double R Blvd ~ Reno, NV
- G. APEX - General / 4400 Cox Rd ~ Glen Allen, VA
- H. CONCRETE VALUE CORP OF NEVADA - General / 695 Edison Way ~ Reno, NV
- I. JOHN GHILIERI - Contractor / 3455 Thornhill Ct ~ Reno, NV
- J. MY FRIENDS - General / 2995 Scottsdale Rd ~ Reno, NV
- K. MOORE THAN LOCKS - General / 7565 Halifax Dr. ~ Reno, NV
- L. SILVER SAGE WATER CO, LLC - General / 129 Ashley Way ~ Reno, NV
- M. KNA SOLUTIONS LLC - General / 2035 Sunset Lade Rd ~ Newark, DE
- N. FIRE EXTINGUISHER SERVICE CENTER - Contractor / 260 Freeport Blvd ~ Sparks, NV
- O. EDDIE CAN DO - Handyman / 44 "G" St. ~ Virginia City, NV
- P. ELRINGKLINGER SILICON VALLEY, INC - General / 2 Max-Eym-Strasse ~ Dettingen Erms, Germany
- Q. NORTHSTAR ELEMENTS, LLC - General / 1215 Alexandria ~ McCarran, NV
- R. PEARLY CAKES MERCANTILE - General / 465 S "C" St ~ Virginia City, NV
- S. PAC VAN INC. - General / 9155 Harrison Park Ct. ~ Indianapolis, IN
- T. SAN-EI TECH LTD. - General / 7-1-15 Kashiwa ~ Chiba, Japan
- U. RAPID CONSTRUCTION INC - Contractor / 3072 Research Way ~ Carson City, NV
- V. PRECIOUS HOLDING, DBA: BAM!DOG HOT DOGS - General/1795 Laurel Ridge ~ Reno, NV
- W. SOFTWARE SPECIALISTS INC - General/ 401 Smith Dr ~ Cranberry Township, PA
- X. PRISM SYSTEMS SOFTWAREM, INC - General / 200 Virginia St ~ Mobile, AL
- Y. ESC, Inc. - General / 1922 N. Broadway Ave ~ Springfield, MO
- Z. CALLE TACOS - General / 2880 Kieztke Ln ~ Reno, NV

County Manager Whitten said Community Development recommended approval of all items A. through Z.

Motion: Approve Items A. through Z., **Action:** Approve, **Moved by:** Vice Chairman McGuffey, **Seconded by:** Chairman McBride, **Vote:** Motion carried by unanimous vote, **(Summary:** Yes=2)

35. PUBLIC COMMENT:

Sam Toll: Reiterating previous public comments, please consider opening public comment at the beginning of the meeting as well as the closing.

Nicole Barde: Read the following statement into the record:

It came to my attention over the holidays that Commissioner Jack McGuffey has once again been spreading untrue rumors about the senior center. The first time that this happened was in 2017 and I had to have a clarifying conversation with Commissioner McGuffey in Pat Whitten's office with Pat Whitten present. During that meeting I asked Commissioner McGuffey to go back to everyone that he has told this rumor to and tell them that it was not true. I also asked that if in the future he has any questions or concerns about the Senior Center to come to me first.

A couple of weeks ago I was told that Commissioner McGuffey was telling people "the senior center is missing \$800 and Nicole is the treasurer and can't handle money". When I heard this I knew that it was not true but has to speak with Center Executive Director Stacey Gilbert and asked her the question "are we missing \$800?"

The answer is what I expected.... “no Nicole we are not, I can show you the accounts”. Stacy was crushed that this false rumor had been put out there.

Stacy Gilbert, and her staff, have worked tirelessly to strengthen and expand the Senior Center’s services to provide for more seniors. They have put effective and transparent financial and inventory systems in place and have become a benchmark to other centers on how to do fundraising. Significant improvements in the quality of food, service, activities and events have been implemented and continue to this day.

The Center’s board of directors have all jumped to the support of Stacy and the center with countless hours of work. They all deserve better than this slap across the face by you.

To be clear, the Senior Center is not missing any money. Please come examine the books yourself. Stacy Gilbert is a county employee reporting to Cherie Nevin, another county employee. Hugh Gallagher is the Comptroller overseeing the centers finances. It takes two board signatures to write a check, we have two people count and logging cash when we have it and we don’t typically have more than \$100 in cash.

I am not the treasurer, I am the board chair and some of the other board members and signators on the account are Virginia Nevin, who is the treasurer, Ellie Curtis and Edith Andreesen.

Once again, Commissioner McGuffey, I ask that you go back to those you’ve told this rumor to and tell them that it is not true. I also ask that if you hear of anything concerning the Center that concerns you come to me or Stacy Gilbert.

Lastly, I will tell you again what I told you when we met in Whitten’s office.....if you want come after me.....just do it directly.....don’t involve the Senior Center.

36. ADJOURNMENT

Chairman McBride adjourned the meeting at 1:54 P.M.

Respectfully submitted,

By: _____
Vanessa Stephens Clerk-Treasurer